# Interpersonal differences in postoperative pain scores after bariatric surgery: a systematic review

# M. ROEBERSEN<sup>2,3</sup>, J. BERGHMANS<sup>1,4</sup>, R. LAPRÉ<sup>4</sup>, F. VAN SPRUNDEL<sup>5</sup>, V. SALDIEN<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Basic and Applied Medical Sciences, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium; <sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital of Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium; <sup>4</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, ZiekenhuisNetwerkAntwerpen (ZNA) Cadix Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium; <sup>5</sup>Department of General Surgery, ZiekenhuisNetwerkAntwerpen (ZNA) Cadix Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium.

Corresponding author: Roebersen MCM, Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital Antwerp, Drie Eikenstraat 655, 2650 Edegem, Belgium. E-mail: Melvinroebersen@gmail.com

#### Abstract

*Background:* Despite intensive and better multimodal pain management schemes during bariatric surgery, many obese patients still experience severe early postoperative pain. Furthermore, postoperative pain varies considerably between patients who undergo the same kind of surgery. The main purpose of this study is to investigate psychological and demographic predictors for interpersonal differences of acute postoperative pain after laparoscopic bariatric surgery.

*Methods:* A search of Pubmed, Web of Science, Cochrane database, PsycARTICLES, Google Scholar from 2008 to 2023 was conducted with the following search criteria: psychological, psychometric, catastrophizing, anxiety, pain, fear, stress, depression, vulnerability, self-efficacy, somatization, perception, bariatric surgery and postoperative pain.

*Results:* Younger age, higher ASA physical status, higher educational level, pre-existing anxiety, pre-existing depression and pre-existing alexithymia may contribute to interpersonal differences in acute postoperative pain scores after bariatric surgery.

*Conclusion:* Limited evidence exists on demographic and psychological factors. Further research is warranted to clarify these demographic and psychological predictors of acute postoperative pain in bariatric surgery to provide a more effective intervention and perioperative care.

Keywords: Risk factors, psychology, demography, bariatric surgery, acute postoperative pain.

#### Introduction

A good understanding of peri- and postoperative pain management is essential for anesthesiologists. Despite intensive and multimodal pain management schemes<sup>1-5</sup>, many patients still experience severe early postoperative pain<sup>6-9</sup>. Undoubtedly, during the last decades a lot of advances have been made in the treatment and understanding of postoperative pain. Furthermore, postoperative pain varies considerably between patients who undergo the same kind of surgery<sup>10,11</sup>.

Pain is a complex dynamic and subjective experience with sensory-discriminative, emotional-affective and cognitive-evaluative components<sup>12,13</sup>.

The variety in pain experience are influenced by biological responses, psychological state, traits, and biosocial context<sup>14-17</sup>. In general, certain patients with specific traits are more prone to have poor acute postoperative pain control such as younger age<sup>9,18-20</sup>, female gender<sup>8,9,18</sup>, higher body mass index(BMI)<sup>9</sup>, presence of preoperative pain<sup>9,21-23</sup> and use of preoperative analgesia<sup>9,14</sup>. Also non-limiting pre-existing psychological determinants such as surgical fear<sup>6</sup>, expected pain<sup>6,24,25</sup>, pain catastrophizing<sup>6,22,24,26,27</sup>, state/trait anxiety<sup>8,9,22,24,28-30</sup>, presurgical optimism<sup>28</sup>, depression<sup>9,22,30</sup>, coping style<sup>31,32</sup> are associated with greater intensity of postoperative pain. Furthermore pain intensity is associated with self-reported disability

in several domains of life, i.e. prolonged physical disability<sup>33,34</sup>, delayed return to work<sup>33</sup>, psychological distress<sup>34,35</sup> and low satisfaction with health care<sup>36</sup>. Also low levels of self-efficacy have been proven to negatively affect patients' tolerance to acute pain after trauma<sup>37,38</sup> and have been shown to be associated with poor long-term outcomes<sup>33,38</sup>.

In relation to the above complex relations between non-psychological and psychological determinants on the one hand and the variety in postoperative pain experience in patients on the other, some subpopulations such as obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery might be more prone to postoperative pain.

In fact, the incidence of obesity has significantly increased during the last decades<sup>39-41</sup> and it is predicted that one in two patients will suffer from overweight in Belgium in 2030<sup>39</sup>. Consequently, bariatric surgery is trending<sup>40,42-44</sup>, which may create some additional surgical and anesthesia related points of attention and complications<sup>40,41,44-46</sup>.

Obese patients may be more vulnerable because obesity is associated with several comorbidities<sup>46,47</sup> including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obstructive sleep apnea, but also significant psychological problems<sup>40,47-50</sup> such as higher levels of stress, anxiety, depression, lower self-esteem and quality of life compared with normal-weight patients<sup>40,48-51</sup>. Patients with obesity are thought to produce high postoperative pain scores<sup>14,52</sup>. Indeed, the obese population show high pain scores despite the overall implemented Enhanced Recovery After Surgery-protocols (ERAS) and other multimodal analgesic strategies<sup>4,7,42</sup>.

Compared to other surgical populations, pain management after bariatric surgery might be challenging due to unique patient characteristics and procedure-related factors<sup>45,46</sup>. This necessitates a better understanding of preoperative existing psychological predictors that may lead to a better acute postoperative pain control after bariatric surgery and health-related quality of life which should allow anaesthesiologists to provide a more effective intervention and perioperative care<sup>8,26</sup>.

The purpose of this systematic review is to investigate existing knowledge of demographic and psychological predictors explaining the differences in variability of pain scores after obesity surgery.

# Methodology

# Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria: 1. English studies published between January 2008 and June 2023 (RCT,

observational, cohort, case-control, case series, cross sectional cohorts); 2. presence of preoperative psychological variables; 3. adult ( $\geq$ 18 years) patients undergoing laparoscopic bariatric surgery; 4. assessment of acute postoperative pain. Exclusion criteria: non-primary literature (reviews, commentaries, editorials), non-peer reviewed studies (graduate theses, dissertations) and conference abstracts. The study design can also be seen in Table I.

# Search strategy

PubMed/MEDLINE, Web of Science, Cochrane database, PsycARTICLES, Google Scholar databases were used as a search engine to retrieve articles. A combination of the following search terms: 'Psychological, psychometric, catastrophizing, anxiety, pain, fear, stress, depression, vulnerability, self-efficacy, somatization, perception. Combined with bariatric surgery and postoperative pain.'

Boolean operators (AND/OR) were used and the search included both MESH terms and subject headings. The reference lists from the fully read articles were also reviewed to find relevant articles.

# Study selection

The search was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines<sup>53</sup>. Two independent authors (MR and JB) individually assessed these articles based on title and abstract to meet inclusion criteria. Any discrepancies in the selected articles were settled via consensus. The identified articles were fully read to determine final eligibility.

# Data extraction

Data were extracted using a standardized data extraction form including the following data: study design, sample size, demographic participant data, type of surgery, study timeline/follow-up, type of anesthesia, pain scale, pain outcome, psychometric scales, additional preoperative predictors, results, and study limitations. Data were extracted by the first author (MR) and were checked for accuracy by the second author (JB). Data were synthesized in tables and were systematically analysed. Risk of bias was assessed for each study using the Cochrane assessment tool<sup>54</sup>.

# Data synthesis

Data were synthesized in tables and were systematically analysed. Due to heterogeneity in reported pain outcomes, statistical analyses and follow-up period across the included studies, a quantitative meta-analysis was not deemed feasible. Table I. — Study design with inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Aim: To examine biopsychosocial factors influencing acute postoperative pain after bariatric surgery
Study design: RCT, observational, cohort, case-control, case series, cross-sectional cohorts
Population: Obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery
Exposure: Psychological factors
Outcome: Acute postoperative pain
Inclusion criteria:
1. English studies
2. 2008-2023
3. Preoperative psychological variables
4. Adults ( $\geq$ 18 years old)
5. Laparoscopic bariatric surgery or obesity surgery
6. Acute postoperative pain assessment
Exclusion criteria:
1. Non-primary literature (reviews, commentaries, editorials)
2. Non-peer reviewed articles (e.g. graduate theses)
3. Conference abstracts
4. No full text available
5. No method to measure pain

#### Results

PubMed/MEDLINE database using the above search terms yielded 3181 results (2008-2023, full text). Searching the other databases yielded another 586 results. After reading all titles of the search results, 63 articles were selected. From the screened abstracts, 19 articles were retrieved. After fully reading the selected articles 12 articles were excluded. The reference lists from the fully read articles were also reviewed which yielded 2 more articles. For final analysis 7 articles remained. The flow of information through the different phases is found in figure 1. The study characteristics and the results are respectively presented in Table II and III.

# Demographic predictors

Age

Younger age was found to be a predictor for higher postoperative pain in three studies<sup>55-57</sup> and one study<sup>55</sup> even addressed more severe pain (VAS  $\geq$  7).

#### Gender

Four studies<sup>55,57-59</sup> investigated possible associations between gender and postoperative pain after bariatric surgery. The first study by Zeidan et al<sup>59</sup> found a significant association between higher NRS pain scores and more immediate postoperative opioid use in female patients. The second study by Weingarten et al<sup>57</sup> found more postoperative opioid use in male patients. The two last studies by Grevani et al<sup>58</sup> and Hartwig et al<sup>55</sup> did not find such an association although Hartwig et al<sup>55</sup> saw more severe pain registrations and the pain severity lasted longer in female patients. A fifth study by Pekcan et al<sup>56</sup> only studied female subjects and found some correlations (lower age, higher education level and higher preoperative State Anxiety produced higher pain scores) but concerning gender no overall conclusion could be made, since only females were included.

#### ASA physical status classification

Aceto et al<sup>60</sup> found a weak association between higher ASA physical status (ASA-PS) and more patientcontrolled analgesics. Another study, Iamaroon et al<sup>61</sup> also displayed ASA-PS, but these were not statistically analysed with any other variable.

#### Educational level

Three studies<sup>56-58</sup> showed some significant results that higher educated patients (university degrees) had more severe postoperative pain.

#### **Psychological predictors**

#### Anxiety

Three studies<sup>56,58,60</sup> investigated the effects of preoperative anxiety on postoperative pain scores. Pekcan et al<sup>56</sup> found having a higher state anxiety, but not higher trait anxiety to be correlated with higher pain levels at 24 hours after surgery and corresponding to higher analgesic consumption. Overall anxiety<sup>58,60</sup> and state anxiety<sup>56</sup> is found to be associated with higher postoperative pain perception, higher analgesic consumption<sup>56,60</sup> and administration of rescue analgesia<sup>60</sup>.

# Depression

Two studies<sup>58,60</sup> also took preoperative depression into account and depression was associated with higher postoperative pain perception<sup>58,60</sup>, analgesic consumption<sup>60</sup> and rescue analgesia<sup>60</sup>. Especially in





Fig. 1 — PRISMA flow diagram (n=number of articles) (53).

patients with pre-existing depression, postoperative pain is sensed to be more unpleasant, especially in the first four hours after surgery<sup>58</sup>.

#### Alexithymia

Only one study<sup>60</sup>, addressed alexithymia, defined as the inability to recognize, express and describing one's own emotions. These patients – according to the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (cut off  $\geq$  60 points) – appear to have higher analgesic consumption (patient controlled) but no higher postoperative pain perception/scores.

#### Pain intensity

Five studies used Numeric Rating Scale (NRS)<sup>57-59,61</sup> or verbal analogue scale (VAS)<sup>56</sup> as the pain measure of choice. Two studies<sup>55,60</sup> used visual analogue scale (VAS). Some studies<sup>55,57,58</sup> also divided the pain scales in different categories to

verbalize and categorize the pain scores (mild, moderate and severe). One study<sup>61</sup> also pointed out that inadequate pain control at PACU discharge is an independent predictor for moderate to severe pain scores (NRS 4-10) at the nursing ward at least 3 days after surgery. Also higher initial pain scores on arrival at the PACU tend to predict higher pain scores during hospital stay<sup>61</sup>.

#### **Risk of bias**

Risk of bias was included for each article and can be found in Table IV.

#### Discussion

This review found associations between higher acute postoperative pain scores after bariatric surgery and demographic and psychological factors including younger age, female gender,

Pain assessment timing	36 h	24 h	24 h	(4 h, POD 1, 2, 3	24 h	48 h	24 h	leeve Gastrectomy, xiety Scale, HAM- r/i= rest/voluntary
Pain measures/outcome	VAS, (visual) (0-10cm) VAS <sub>i</sub> (visual) (0-10cm) PCA doses (tramadol)	MPQ-SF (NRS (0-10), PPI) PCA doses (fentanyl)	VAS (visual) (0-10cm)	PCA doses (morphine)	VAS (verbal) (0-10)	NRS (0-10)	NRS (0-10)	: Bypass, LSG=Laparoscopic S switch, HAM-A=Hamilton An VAS=Visual Analogue Scale ( :a Syndrome)
Preoperative variables	Anxiety (HAM-A) Depression (HAM-D) alexithymia (TAS-20)	Anxiety (HADS-A) Depression (HADS-D)	IPOQ Pre-existing pain	Socio-economic, demograph- ic, OSAS, smoking	Trait Anxiety (STAI-I) State Anxiety (STAI-II)	Socio-economic, demographic, smoking, psychotropic medication	gender	BP=laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric ancreatic diversion and duæodenal till Pain Questionnaire Short Form, aire, OSAS=Obstructive Sleep Apne
Anesthesia	Standardized	Partially stan- dardized	Unstandard- ized	Unstandard- ized	Standardized	Undefined	Standardized	mass index, LRYGI ass, BPD/DS=bilioj ale, MPQ-SF=McG Dutcome Questionn
Surgery	LRYGB	LSG LOAGB	LRYGB	LSG LRYGB	DSJ	LRYSB BPD/DS	LRYGB	e, BMI=body enal-Ileal byp Depression Sc national Pain (
$\begin{array}{l} BMI \; (kg/m^2) \\ (mean + SD) \end{array}$	$44.2 \pm 6.1$	$47.5 \pm 6.5$	$40.5 \pm 5.7$	$45.04\pm8.42$	35 < 65	$46.5 \pm 7.7$	F: $59 \pm 6$ M: $55 \pm 6$	çists physical scor nastomosis Duod tal Anxiety and I ssia, IPOQ=Interr
Age (y)	$39.1 \pm 10.8$ 10.8 (18 < 60)	$37.4 \pm 9.9$	42.9 ± 12.3	$38.6\pm12.27$	18 < 65	$46 \pm 11$	$F: 30 \pm 13 \\ M: 28 \pm 7$	f Anesthesiolog copic Single A HADS= Hospi ntrolled Analge
ASA- PS	III-I	II-I	ċ	III-II	≤ III	ė	II-II	n Society o DI=Laparos Scale 20, Patient Co
Popula- tion	Italy	Greece	Sweden	Thailand	Turkey	USA	Saudi- Arabia	PS=America Bypass, SAI Alexithymia Scale, PCA=
F/M (%)%)	76.7/23.3	61/39	71.4/28.6	69/31	100/0	79.7/20.3	53.8/46.2	f=male, ASA- mosis Gastric 5-20=Toronto meric Rating
Z	116	100	192	97	42	384	130	èmale, M e Anastor cale, TAS ndex, Nu
Type	Cohort	Cohort	Cohort	Cohort	Cohort	Cohort	Cohort	tients, F= scopic On ression So tent Pain I
Author, year	Aceto et al, 2016 <sup>55</sup>	Gravani et al, 2020 <sup>s6</sup>	Hartwig et al, $2017^{57}$	Iamaroon, et al, 2019 <sup>58</sup>	Pekcan et al, 2023 <sup>59</sup>	Weingarten et al, 2011 <sup>60</sup>	Zeidan et al, 20136	(N=number of pa LOAGB=Laparo D=Hamilton Der cough), PPI=Pres

Table II. — Study characteristics.

Author, year	Statistical analysis	Association	coefficent	OR	d	95% CI	SE	Outcome
Aceto et al, 2016 <sup>55</sup>	Pearson correlation (r)	TAS-20 - VAS, (mean)	r=0.37		<0.01			Anxiety and depression predictor of postoperative
		$TAS-20 - VAS_i(mean)$	r=0.38		<0.01			pain perception and analgesic consumption (trama-
		TAS 20 – Effective PCA requests (total 36h)	r=0.50		<0.001			dol PCA).
		HAM-A-VAS, (mean)	r=0.52		<0.001			Alexithymia no higher postoperative pain perception
		$HAM-A-VAS_i(mean)$	r=0.53		<0.001			Alexithymia, anxiety and depression higher analge-
		HAM-A - effective PCA requests (total 36h)	r=0.70		<0.001			sic consumption (tramadol PCA)
		HAM-D - VAS,(mean)	r=0.51		<0.001			Anxiety and depression higher rescue analgesia
		HAM-D – VAS <sub>i</sub> (mean)	r=0.53		<0.001			(ketorolac/NSAID)
		HAM-D – effective PCA requests (total 36h)	r=0.68		<0.001			
		ASA-PS – effective PCA requests (total 36h)	<u>r=0.20</u>		0.04			Higher ASA-PS – higher analgesic consumption
	ANOVA (F)	Alexithymic (TAS-20, $\geq 60$ vs $< 60$ ) – VAS, (mean)	F=6.5		0.012			(tramadol PCA)
	k. Y	Alexithymic (TAS-20, $\geq 60$ vs $< 60$ ) – VAS (mean)	F=6.0		0.015			
		Alexithymic (TAS-20, $\ge 60$ vs $< 60$ ) – effective PCA requests	F=22.5		0.0001			
		(total 36h)	F=21.0		0.0001			
		Anxiety (HAM-A, ≥18 vs <18) – VAS, (mean)	F=24.4		0.0001			
		Anxiety (HAM-A, ≥18 vs <18) – VAS;(mean)	F=47.4		0.0001			
		Anxiety (HAM-A, ≥18 vs <18) – effective PCA requests (total	F=24.6		0.0001			
		36h)	F=26.2		0.0001			
		Depression (HAM-D, $\geq 18$ vs $< 18$ ) – VAS, (mean)	F=47.7		0.0001			
	Multiple linear regression	Depression (HAM-D, $\geq 18$ vs $< 18$ ) – VAS (mean)	Adi R <sup>2</sup> =0.62, B=0.26		0.0001		0.065	
	(adj $\mathbf{R}^2$ )	Depression (HAM-D, ≥18 vs <18) – effective PCA requests (total	Adi R <sup>2</sup> =0.32, B=0.30		0.005		0.105	
		36h)	Adi $R^2=0.33$ , $\beta=0.30$ Adi		0.005		0.104	
		TAS 20 – Effective PCA requests (total 36h)	$R^{2}=0.62, \beta=0.43$		0.0001		0.079	
		HAM-A – VAS, (mean)	Adi R <sup>2</sup> =0.32, B=0.24		0.02		0.019	
		HAM-A – VAS, (mean)	Adi R <sup>2</sup> =0.33, B=0.26		0.01		0.107	
		HAM-A – effective PCA requests (total 36h)	Adi R <sup>2</sup> =0.62, B=0.26		0.001		0.081	
		HAM-D – VAS (mean)						
		HAM-D – VAS, (mean)						
		HAM-D - effective PCA requests (total 36h)						
Gravani et al,	Spearman correlation $(r/\rho)$	Anxiety (HADS-A > 10) – NRS (1 <sup>st</sup> h postop)	p=0.22		0.030			Higher educational level - more severe pain scores
202056		Anxiety (HADS-D >10) – Sensory score (1st h postop)	ρ=0.28		0.005			$(NRS \ge 7)$ (quality and intensity during first 24h)
		Anxiety (HADS-D >10) – Affective score (1 <sup>st</sup> h postop)	p=0.29		0.004			Anxiety – Intense and unpleasant pain during first
		Anxiety (HADS-D >10) – MPQ-SF (1 <sup>st</sup> h postop)	p=0.31		0.002			hour
		Depression (HADS-D >10) – Affective score ( $\underline{1}^{\underline{a}}$ h postop)	p=0.22		0.034			Depression – Unpleasant pain during first hour
		Depression (HADS-D $> 10$ ) – NRS (4 <sup>th</sup> h postop)	ρ=0.21		0.038			Depression – intense and unpleasant pain at fourth
		Depression (HADS-D > 10) – PPI ( $4^{\text{th}}$ h postop)	ρ=0.20		0.046			hour postoperatively
	Multiple linear regression	Depression (HADS-D > 10) – Affective score ( $4^{th}$ h postop)	ρ=0.22		0.029			No correlation with BMI, age, gender, marital status,
	(p)	Education (secondary grade) – NRS (average 24h)	b=0.142		0.003		0.046	smoking
		Education (secondary grade) – PPI (average 24h)	b=0.125		0.007		0.045	
		Education (secondary grade) - sensory score (average 24h)	b=0.117		0.043		0.057	
		Education (secondary grade) – affective score (average 24h)	b=0.125		0.050		0.065	
		Education (secondary grade) – MPQ-SF (total 24h)	b=0.110		0.047		0.055	

Table III. — Association between preoperative variables and postoperative pain.

Houting of al	Multiminto linear and	Candar (man) and AlAC > 7)		Dof	0.070			Den aviet ine mann manne
201757	logistic regression (B)	Gender (female) – severe pain (VAS $\ge$ 7) Pre-existing pain (without) – severe pain (VAS $\ge$ 7) Pre-existing pain (with) – severe pain (VAS $\ge$ 7)		1.81 Ref. 2.07	0.072	0.95-3.47 0.93-4.59		Younger age – more severe pain scores Females – more severe pain scores
		Age (<40 y) – severe pain (VAS $\ge 7$ ) Age (40-60 y) – severe pain (VAS $\ge 7$ ) Age (>60 y) – severe pain (VAS $\ge 7$ )		Ref. 0.43 0.14	0.007 0.004	0.24-0.80 0.04-0.53		
lamaroon et al, 2019 <sup>ss</sup>	Student's t test	Inadequate pain control at PACU leave – moderate to severe pain (NRS 4-10) Resoue analgesics (NSAIDs) – moderate to severe pain (NRS			0.011			Inadequate pain control at PACU discharge – more moderate to severe pain Postoperative NSAID rescue use – tending to more
	A Multivariate analysis (undefined) (adj OR)	4-10) Sex (female) – moderate to severe pain (NRS 4-10) Pain on arrival (NRS > 3) – moderate to severe pain (NRS 4-10)		2.68 3.29	0.069	0.91-7.91 0.91-11.85		moderate to severe pain
	A statistical analysis	Inadequate pain control at PACU discharge – moderate to severe pain (NRS 4-10) Pression and Jassies (NIS 4 IDs.) – moderate to severe noin (NBS.		1.03 4.90	0.961 0.011	1.03-3.46 1.44-16.69		
	(undefined)	Arosece analyzenes (1977/125) Investate to severe pair (1975) 4-10) Indonuts min control of DOD 0 Meddents to concers min of			0.011			
		matequate pain control at POJO – Moderate to severe pain at POD 1 (44.4% vs 16.0%; NRS 4-10 vs NRS 0-3) Inadequate pain control at POD 0 – Moderate to severe pain at POD 2 (52.9% vs 11.1%; NRS 4-10 vs NRS 0-3) Inadequate pain control at POD 0 – Moderate to severe pain at POD 3 (35.3% vs 8.8%; NRS 4-10 vs NRS 0-3)			<0.001			
Pekcan et al, 2023 <sup>39</sup>	Spearman correlation $(r/\rho)$	State Anxiety (STA1-I) – Pain score (VAS 24th h) State Anxiety (STA1-I) – Analgesic consumption (tramadol) State Anxiety (STA1-I) – Analgesic consumption (total tramadol) Trait Anxiety (STA1-II) – Analgesic consumption (total tramadol) Trait Anxiety (STA1-II) – Pain score (VAS 24th h)	p=0.378 p=0.416 p=0.436 p=0.169		0.014 0.006 0.004 0.284 0.160			Higher age – lower analgesic consumption Higher education level – higher analgesic consump- tion Higher preoperative STAI-I level (anxiety state) – higher nain level 24 hours after surcery and higher
	Multiple stepwise linear regression (B)	Trait Anxiety (STAI-II) – Analgesic consumption (total tramadol) Trait Anxiety (STAI-II) – Analgesic consumption (total tramadol) Age – Analgesic consumption (total tramadol) State Anxiety (STAI-I) – Analgesic consumption (total tramadol) Education – Analgesic consumption (total tramadol)	$\frac{p-0.160}{R^2-0.288}B=-2.973$ $\underline{R^2-0.288}B=-2.464$ $\underline{R^2-0.108}B=-2.464$		0.297 0.009 0.013 0.034		1.077 0.941 1.374	analgesic consumption.
Weingarten et al, 2011 <sup>66</sup>	Pearson correlation (r) Student's t test	Age – Analgesic consumption (OME) Gender (male) – Analgesic consumption (OME) Marital status (unmarried) – Analgesic consumption (OME) Psychiatric hospitalization (recent) – Analgesic consumption (OME)	R=-0.228		<0.001 0.019 0.034 <0.001 0.054			Higher age – lower analgesic consumption Males, unmarried and previous psychiatric hospital- ization – higher analgesic consumption tobacco use – tending higher analgesic consumption. Higher education (>12 v) – More severe pain reports
	Student's t test	Tobacco (active use) – Analgesic consumption (OME) Age – Severe pain (NRS≥7) Gender (female vs. male) – Severe pain (NRS≥7) Marital status (umarried vs. married) – Severe pain (NRS>7)		0.50 0.88 1.02	0.151 0.630 0.952 0.021	0.19-1.28 0.53-1.47 0.65-1.59		Younger age, male, previous psychiatric hospitaliza- tion – independently higher analgesic consumption
	Multiple linear regression (B)	Education (>12 y vs. <12y) – Severe pain (NRS=7) Age – Analgesic consumption (OME) Gender (male) – Analgesic consumption (OME) Psychiatric hospitalization (recent) – Analgesic consumption (OME)		1.78	<0.001 0.001 <0.001	1.10-2.93		

Table III. (Continued)— Association between preoperative variables and postoperative pain.

Zeidan et al, 20136	Box plot – graphic	Gender – NRS (0-10, at 0 min)	0.09	Females – higher NRS and higher IV morphine in
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 15 min)	0.00	PACU
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 30 min)	0.00	Females – No difference NRS or IV pethidine
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 45 min)	0.05	remaining 24 h (outside PACU)
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 1 hr)	0.01	
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 90 min)	0.00	
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 2 hr)	0.01	
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 6 hr)	0.46	
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 12 hr)	0.67	
		Gender – NRS (0-10, at 24 hr)	0.93	
	Independent samples t test	Gender – IV morphine in PACU 2h	0.0001	
		Gender – IV pethidine in remaining 24 h	0.9729	
(SE=Standard F Anesthesiologis Anxiety and De PCA= Patient C Equivalents).	rror, CI=confidence Intu ts physical score, BMI= pression Scale, MPQ-SI ontrolled Analgesia, IP0	erval, OR=odds ratio, PCA= patient controlled analgesia, VAS=Visual Analogue S body mass index, HAM-A=Hamilton Anxiety Scale, HAM-D=Hamilton Depress F=McGill Pain Questionnaire Short Form, VAS=Visual or Verbal Analog Scale (r OQ=International Pain Outcome Questionnaire), STAI=Spielberger State-Trait Ar	Scale (r/i= rest/voluntary con sion Scale, TAS-20=Toronto /i= rest/voluntary cough), Pl nxiety Inventory, PACU= Pc	gh), ASA-PS=American Society of Alexithymia Scale 20, HADS= Hospital 1=Present Pain Index, Numeric Rating Scale, st-anesthesia Care Unit, OME= Oral Morphine

higher ASA physical status, higher educational level, pre-existing anxiety, pre-existing depression and pre-existing alexithymia.

Younger age was found to be a predictor for higher postoperative pain after bariatric surgery in three studies (Hartwig et al<sup>55</sup>, Pekcan et al<sup>56</sup> and Weingarten et al<sup>57</sup>). Many other studies not related to bariatric surgery support that pain scores<sup>8,9,18-20,62,63</sup> and total opioid consumption<sup>20,62,63</sup> decrease by age. Maybe these differences could be explained by age-dependent metabolism and increased sensitivity for opioids<sup>64</sup>. Furthermore, a large metaanalysis<sup>19</sup> found that the pain threshold increases with age. Also, other age-related psychological or neurological factors could contribute to these differences<sup>56</sup>.

In the general population<sup>8,9,18,65</sup> female patients are more likely to have higher postoperative pain scores and this might be explained by differences in metabolism<sup>64,66,67</sup>. Also sex hormones could play a role in increased pain sensation in female patients<sup>68</sup>. However, in this analysis female gender was not conclusive to be a predictor for higher postoperative pain immediately after bariatric surgery. Only the study by Zeidan et al<sup>59</sup> was significant and three other studies (Gravani et al<sup>58</sup>, Hartwig et al<sup>55</sup> and Weingarten et al<sup>57</sup>) found no associations. However, study by Hartwig et al<sup>55</sup> did see more severe pain registrations and the pain severity lasted longer in female patients.

Higher ASA-PS number correlated in study by Aceto et al<sup>60</sup> with more consumption of analgesics after bariatric surgery. In the general population this could be explained by other patient characteristics<sup>69-71</sup>, such as smoking, obesity and chronic opioid use9,14. Also, contra-indications for receiving NSAIDs and lower perioperative analgesics to avoid adverse drug events in those patients might explain the results in this study<sup>60</sup>. Furthermore, a higher ASA-PS is often accompanied with lower kidney and liver function which may result in accumulation of analgesics<sup>64</sup> and therefore would actually predict a lower consumption of analgesics. In contrast, some nonbariatric studies72,73 found healthier patients (with lower ASA-PS) are more likely to have severe acute postoperative pain. Another study<sup>74</sup> also concluded patients with lower ASA-PS were more satisfied, although satisfaction and pain scores are not necessarily the same75. In general, patients with high ASA-PS often have psychological problems<sup>76</sup> and lower socio-economic status<sup>77</sup> which may contribute to higher acute postoperative pain scores. Most studies78,79, including one large systematic review<sup>77</sup> found that a lower socio-economic status is associated with higher pain scores and that lower

Table III. (Continued)— Association between preoperative variables and postoperative pain.

education levels give a higher risk for new chronic opioid use after bariatric surgery<sup>80</sup>. Surprisingly in this review a higher educational level (as a surrogate for socio-economic status)<sup>56-58</sup> was associated with more severe postoperative pain after bariatric surgery.

Psychosocial factors could play a role in differences of postoperative pain between patients. Societal expectations toward pain behavior may account for discrepancies in pain reporting after surgery<sup>64</sup>.

Three studies (Aceto et al<sup>60</sup>, Gravani et al<sup>58</sup> and Pekcan et al<sup>56</sup>) researched the effects of preoperative anxiety on postoperative pain scores after bariatric surgery. Overall state anxiety<sup>56,58,60</sup> but not trait anxiety<sup>56</sup> was found to be a predictor for higher acute postoperative pain perception, higher analgesic consumption<sup>56,60</sup> and more need for rescue analgesia<sup>60</sup>. This was confirmed in many studies<sup>8,9,22,24,28-30</sup> in which non-bariatric surgery perioperative state anxiety was also found to be a predictor for higher postoperative pain scores.

Pre-existing depression in patients undergoing bariatric surgery predicts higher postoperative pain perception<sup>58,60</sup>, analgesic consumption<sup>60</sup> and rescue analgesia<sup>60</sup>, and pain is sensed as more unpleasant<sup>58</sup>. The link between pre-existing depression and postoperative acute pain is also found in other studies with other surgical procedures<sup>9,22,30,81</sup>. In conclusion, pre-existing anxiety and depression seem to be an important predictor in the general setting<sup>8,9,22,24,28-30,81</sup> and this is also the case after bariatric surgery.

Furthermore, patients with alexithymia appear to have higher patient-controlled analgesic consumption, but no higher postoperative pain scores after bariatric surgery<sup>60</sup>. This is likely due to the intrinsic characteristics of this psychological entity unable to communicate mild-moderate pain intensity<sup>60</sup>. However, alexithymia is mainly studied in relationship with chronic pain<sup>82-86</sup> and is strongly linked with increased risks of higher pain intensity<sup>84,85</sup>, pain catastrophizing<sup>82,86</sup>, anxiety<sup>82,83,85</sup>, depression<sup>83,85</sup>, lower self-efficacy<sup>82</sup> and somatization<sup>84</sup>. These psychological factors may predict higher acute postoperative pain scores, but only one other study<sup>87</sup> did research on alexithymia until twelve months after surgery and did not find higher acute postoperative pain scores.

#### Limitations

The initial research question was only limited to psychological factors affecting acute postoperative pain scores in obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery but only four articles in our search contained (preoperative) psychometric tests or psychological predictors. Therefore, the inclusion criteria were expended to several other preoperative risk factors including demographic and psychological predictors. However, only seven articles were eligible for final analysis.

Due to large heterogeneity in reported pain outcomes, the statistical analyses and follow-up intervals across the included studies, a quantitative meta-analysis was not possible. Furthermore, most studies contained small sample sizes. Those statistical factors make the correlations or associations of low quality and may create observational errors.

The surgical procedures were always performed laparoscopically and mostly sleeve gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass, the latter is considered the gold standard of modern bariatric surgery<sup>88</sup>. Despite the gold standard, still many different types

Table IV — Risk of bias (54).

Author, year	Represen- tativeness of exposed cohort	Assessment of exposure	Outcome of interest not present at start of study	Comparability of cohort	Assessment of prognostic factors	Assessment of outcomes	Adequate follow-up	Similar co-inter- ventions
<i>Aceto et al,</i> 2016 <sup>55</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Uncertain	Uncertain
Gravani et al, 2020 <sup>56</sup>	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Uncertain	Low risk
Hartwig et al, 2017 <sup>57</sup>	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk
<i>Iamaroon, et</i> <i>al, 2019</i> <sup>58</sup>	Low risk	Uncertain	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk
Pekcan et al, 2023 <sup>59</sup>	High risk	Uncertain	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Uncertain	Low risk
Weingarten et al, 2011 <sup>60</sup>	High risk	Uncertain	Low risk	Uncertain	High risk	Uncertain	Low risk	Low risk
Zeidan et al, 2013 <sup>61</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Uncertain	Low risk	High risk	Uncertain	Low risk

of bariatric procedures are performed worldwide. Moreover, concomitant surgical interventions (e.g. cholecystectomy, eventration repair) were often excluded, which might have been an important predictor of acute postoperative pain. The anesthesia was only standardized in three studies<sup>56,59,60</sup>. Also, the postoperative pain management was different: some received patient-controlled analgesia with different types of drugs, others only received pain medication based on their pain scores, or postoperative opioids were converted in opioid morphine equivalents.

In conclusion, the surgery, the anesthesia, and the perioperative pain management were not standard throughout all the studies which is worrisome for analysis. Also, little to no multimodal analgesia or Enhanced Recovery After Bariatric Surgery (ERABS) was implemented, which may not represent the current anesthetic practices.

Some studies<sup>56-58,60</sup> excluded chronic pain and pre-existing analgesics of psychotropics use, where another study<sup>55</sup> did find positive associations. Important associations may have been lost during the selection procedures.

This systematic review found that some predictors were associated with higher acute postoperative pain scores. However, only a decrease in Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) of two points or a reduction of approximately 30% in the NRS may represent a clinically important difference<sup>89,90</sup>. One study<sup>58</sup> investigated subjective pain sensation after bariatric surgery but statistically significant differences in pain score were not tested for clinical relevance.

In all the studies more females than males were included. This reflects the fact that more female subjects undergo bariatric surgery<sup>55</sup>. However, statistically the study populations are not normally distributed. Only four studies<sup>55,57-59</sup> did statistical analyses on 'gender'. It is worth noting that most studies depicted gender where they probably meant sex. Interchanging those terms without emphasizing the underlying definition – psychological versus biological – could potentially lead to misinterpretation.

One study<sup>56</sup> which only studied female subjects concluded on their literature search<sup>56,91</sup> that female gender had higher preoperative anxiety levels compared to male subjects. Unfortunately, in our review we could not find evidence to support this statement in the obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery due to lack of available data on this topic.

Nearly all studies<sup>56,58-61</sup> included ASA physical status (ASA-PS) in the patient characteristics (Table II). According to the definition of the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA): BMI of at least 30 kg/m2 is class II and BMI of

at least 40 kg/m2 is class III<sup>92</sup>. Some studies<sup>56,58-60</sup> also included class I which is very unlikely since obesity is at least ASA-PS class II and most studies have a mean BMI of at least 40 kg/m2 meaning an ASA-PS class III. This may give a misinterpretation of the patient population.

The data analysis of the studies is mostly not completely mentioned or is of poor quality. Moreover, the studies use different pain scales and variables and are not always well defined. The type of data is important for the subsequent statistical tests<sup>93</sup>. Especially NRS and VAS is thought to be an ordinal scale, which means that parametric statistics are not appropriate<sup>94</sup>. If assigned incorrectly, this may create a statistical bias and misinterpretation of the statistics and subsequent results.

Also, some studies<sup>55,57,58</sup> divided the pain scales in different categories (mild, moderate and severe). The validation of those categories is important. Are they universal and is the one 'severe pain' the same as the other? The cut-off value for 'severe pain' scores should be noted. In the forementioned studies severe pain is stated as NRS  $\geq 7^{57,58}$  and VAS  $\geq 755$ . Fundamental studies categorized severe pain as NRS  $\geq 7^{95}$ , but others suggest NRS  $\geq 8^{96.98}$  and VAS  $\geq 7.5$  (cm)<sup>99</sup> as severe pain in terms of interference with functioning. So, no consensus has been reached and may subsequently lead to statistical bias and misinterpretation of the results.

# Conclusion

Although not extensively studied, some limited evidence exists on demographic and psychological factors. Younger age, higher ASA physical status, higher educational level, pre-existing anxiety, preexisting depression and pre-existing alexithymia may contribute to interpersonal differences in acute postoperative pain scores in obese patients after bariatric surgery. One should be cautious not to overinterpret these findings and based on what is found future research is needed.

Acknowledgment and declarations: Three other contributors (RL, FVS, VS) reviewed the article before admission. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article. No funds, grants, or other support was received.

# References

- 1. Eisenach JC, Brennan TJ. Pain after surgery. Pain. 2018;159(6):1010-1011.
- 2. Pogatzki-Zahn EM, Segelcke D, Schug SA. Postoperative pain-from mechanisms to treatment. Pain Rep. 2017;2(2):e588.
- 3. Korwin-Kochanowska K, Potie A, El-Boghdadly K, et al. PROSPECT guideline for hallux valgus repair surgery: a systematic review and procedure-specific postoperative

pain management recommendations. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2020;45(9):702-708.

- Ceulemans A, De Looze D, Van de Putte D, Stiers E, Coppens M. High post-operative pain scores despite multimodal analgesia in ambulatory anorectal surgery: a prospective cohort study. Acta Chir Belg. 2019;119(4):224-230.
- Li D, Jensen CC. Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life with Enhanced Recovery Protocols. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2019;32(2):138-144.
- Sommer M, de Rijke JM, van Kleef M, et al. Predictors of acute postoperative pain after elective surgery. Clin J Pain. 2010;26(2):87-94.
- Gerbershagen HJ, Aduckathil S, van Wijck AJ, Peelen LM, Kalkman CJ, Meissner W. Pain intensity on the first day after surgery: a prospective cohort study comparing 179 surgical procedures. Anesthesiology. 2013;118(4):934-944.
- 8. Ip HY, Abrishami A, Peng PW, Wong J, Chung F. Predictors of postoperative pain and analgesic consumption: a qualitative systematic review. Anesthesiology. 2009;111(3):657-677.
- 9. Small C, Laycock H. Acute postoperative pain management. British Journal of Surgery. 2020;107(2):e70-e80.
- Lautenbacher S, Huber C, Baum C, Rossaint R, Hochrein S, Heesen M. Attentional avoidance of negative experiences as predictor of postoperative pain ratings and consumption of analgesics: comparison with other psychological predictors. Pain Med. 2011;12(4):645-653.
- Peters ML, Sommer M, van Kleef M, Marcus MA. Predictors of physical and emotional recovery 6 and 12 months after surgery. Br J Surg. 2010;97(10):1518-1527.
- Price DD. Psychological and neural mechanisms of the affective dimension of pain. Science. 2000;288(5472):1769-1772.
- Hadjistavropoulos T, Craig KD, Duck S, et al. A biopsychosocial formulation of pain communication. Psychol Bull. 2011;137(6):910-939.
- Yang MMH, Hartley RL, Leung AA, et al. Preoperative predictors of poor acute postoperative pain control: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2019;9(4):e025091.
- Papaioannou M, Skapinakis P, Damigos D, Mavreas V, Broumas G, Palgimesi A. The role of catastrophizing in the prediction of postoperative pain. Pain Med. 2009;10(8):1452-1459.
- Schreiber KL, Zinboonyahgoon N, Xu X, et al. Preoperative Psychosocial and Psychophysical Phenotypes as Predictors of Acute Pain Outcomes After Breast Surgery. J Pain. 2019;20(5):540-556.
- 17. Khan RS, Ahmed K, Blakeway E, et al. Catastrophizing: a predictive factor for postoperative pain. Am J Surg. 2011;201(1):122-131.
- Gerbershagen HJ, Pogatzki-Zahn E, Aduckathil S, et al. Procedure-specific Risk Factor Analysis for the Development of Severe Postoperative Pain. Anesthesiology. 2014;120(5):1237-1245.
- Lautenbacher S, Peters JH, Heesen M, Scheel J, Kunz M. Age changes in pain perception: A systematic-review and meta-analysis of age effects on pain and tolerance thresholds. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2017;75:104-113.
- 20. van Dijk JFM, Zaslansky R, van Boekel RLM, et al. Postoperative Pain and Age: A Retrospective Cohort Association Study. Anesthesiology. 2021;135(6):1104-1119.
- Rotbøll Nielsen P, Rudin Å, Werner MU. Prediction of postoperative pain. Current Anaesthesia & Critical Care. 2007;18(3):157-165.
- Nielsen PR, A.; Werner, M. Prediction of postoperative pain. Current Anaesthesia & Critical Care. 2007(18):157-165.
- Chen JY, Ang BF, Jiang L, Yeo NE, Koo K, Singh Rikhraj I. Pain Resolution After Hallux Valgus Surgery. Foot Ankle Int. 2016;37(10):1071-1075.

- 24. Mimic A, Bantel C, Jovicic J, et al. Psychological factors as predictors of early postoperative pain after open nephrectomy. J Pain Res. 2018;11:955-966.
- 25. Scheel J, Sittl R, Griessinger N, et al. Psychological Predictors of Acute Postoperative Pain After Hysterectomy for Benign Causes. Clin J Pain. 2017;33(7):595-603.
- 26. Yakobov E, Stanish W, Tanzer M, Dunbar M, Richardson G, Sullivan MJL. The prognostic value of pain catastrophizing in health-related quality of life judgments after Total knee arthroplasty. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2018;16(1):126.
- 27. Sullivan MJ, Thorn B, Haythornthwaite JA, et al. Theoretical perspectives on the relation between catastrophizing and pain. Clin J Pain. 2001;17(1):52-64.
- Pinto PR, McIntyre T, Ferrero R, Almeida A, Araujo-Soares V. Predictors of acute postsurgical pain and anxiety following primary total hip and knee arthroplasty. J Pain. 2013;14(5):502-515.
- 29. Carr E, Brockbank K, Allen S, Strike P. Patterns and frequency of anxiety in women undergoing gynaecological surgery. J Clin Nurs. 2006;15(3):341-352.
- McWilliams LA, Goodwin RD, Cox BJ. Depression and anxiety associated with three pain conditions: results from a nationally representative sample. Pain. 2004;111(1-2):77-83.
- 31. Miller SM. Monitoring and blunting: validation of a questionnaire to assess styles of information seeking under threat. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1987;52(2):345-353.
- 32. Miller SM. Monitoring versus blunting styles of coping with cancer influence the information patients want and need about their disease. Implications for cancer screening and management. Cancer. 1995;76(2):167-177.
- 33. MacKenzie EJ, Bosse MJ, Kellam JF, et al. Early predictors of long-term work disability after major limb trauma. J Trauma. 2006;61(3):688-694.
- Wegener ST, Castillo RC, Haythornthwaite J, MacKenzie EJ, Bosse MJ, Group LS. Psychological distress mediates the effect of pain on function. Pain. 2011;152(6):1349-1357.
- 35. Schreiber S, Galai-Gat T. Uncontrolled pain following physical injury as the core-trauma in post-traumatic stress disorder. Pain. 1993;54(1):107-110.
- 36. Williamson OD, Epi GD, Gabbe BJ, et al. Predictors of moderate or severe pain 6 months after orthopaedic injury: a prospective cohort study. J Orthop Trauma. 2009;23(2):139-144.
- 37. Archer KR, Castillo RC, Wegener ST, Abraham CM, Obremskey WT. Pain and satisfaction in hospitalized trauma patients: the importance of self-efficacy and psychological distress. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;72(4):1068-1077.
- Castillo RC, MacKenzie EJ, Wegener ST, Bosse MJ, Group LS. Prevalence of chronic pain seven years following limb threatening lower extremity trauma. Pain. 2006;124(3):321-329.
- 39. De Pauw R, Claessens M, Gorasso V, Drieskens S, Faes C, Devleesschauwer B. Past, present, and future trends of overweight and obesity in Belgium using Bayesian age-period-cohort models. BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1):1309.
- Snyder AG. Psychological assessment of the patient undergoing bariatric surgery. Ochsner J. 2009;9(3):144-148.
- Moon TS, Van de Putte P, De Baerdemaeker L, Schumann R. The Obese Patient: Facts, Fables, and Best Practices. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2021;132(1):53-64.
- Eipe N, Budiansky AS. Perioperative Pain Management in Bariatric Anesthesia. Saudi J Anaesth. 2022;16(3):339-346.
- 43. Alalwan AA, Friedman J, Park H, Segal R, Brumback BA, Hartzema AG. US national trends in bariatric surgery: A decade of study. Surgery. 2021;170(1):13-17.
- 44. van den Oever R, Volckaert C. Bariatric surgery trends in Belgium. The health insurer's view. Acta Chir Belg. 2006;106(6):641-646.

- 45. Schug SA, Raymann A. Postoperative pain management of the obese patient. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol. 2011;25(1):73-81.
- 46. Pouwels S, Buise MP, Twardowski P, Stepaniak PS, Proczko M. Obesity Surgery and Anesthesiology Risks: a Review of Key Concepts and Related Physiology. Obes Surg. 2019;29(8):2670-2677.
- Sundbom M, Gustavsson S. Bariatric surgery. Clin Dermatol. 2004;22(4):325-331.
- Abilés V, Rodríguez-Ruiz S, Abilés J, et al. Psychological characteristics of morbidly obese candidates for bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2010;20(2):161-167.
- Sarwer DB, Polonsky HM. The Psychosocial Burden of Obesity. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2016;45(3):677-688.
- Martyn-Nemeth PA, Penckofer S. Psychological vulnerability among overweight/obese minority adolescents. J Sch Nurs. 2012;28(4):291-301.
- Müller A, Mitchell JE, Sondag C, de Zwaan M. Psychiatric Aspects of Bariatric Surgery. Current Psychiatry Reports. 2013;15(10):397.
- 52. Basem JI, White RS, Chen SA, et al. The effect of obesity on pain severity and pain interference. Pain Manag. 2021;11(5):571-581.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Bmj. 2021;372:n71.
- 54. Cochrane. Tool to Assess Risk of Bias in Cohort Studies. Cochrane Library.
- 55. Hartwig M, Allvin R, Bäckström R, Stenberg E. Factors Associated with Increased Experience of Postoperative Pain after Laparoscopic Gastric Bypass Surgery. Obes Surg. 2017;27(7):1854-1858.
- 56. Pekcan YO, Tuncalı B, Erol V. Effect of preoperative anxiety level on postoperative pain, analgesic consumption in patients undergoing laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: an observational cohort study. Braz J Anesthesiol. 2023;73(1):85-90.
- Weingarten TN, Sprung J, Flores A, Baena AM, Schroeder DR, Warner DO. Opioid requirements after laparoscopic bariatric surgery. Obes Surg. 2011;21(9):1407-1412.
- Gravani S, Matiatou M, Nikolaidis PT, et al. Anxiety and Depression Affect Early Postoperative Pain Dimensions after Bariatric Surgery. J Clin Med. 2020;10(1).
- 59. Zeidan A, Al-Temyatt S, Mowafi H, Ghattas T. Gender-Related Difference in Postoperative Pain After Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Gastric Bypass in Morbidly Obese Patients. Obesity Surgery. 2013;23(11):1880-1884.
- Aceto P, Lai C, Perilli V, et al. Factors affecting acute pain perception and analgesics consumption in patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Physiol Behav. 2016;163:1-6.
- 61. Iamaroon A, Tangwiwat S, Nivatpumin P, Lertwacha T, Rungmongkolsab P, Pangthipampai P. Risk Factors for Moderate to Severe Pain during the First 24 Hours after Laparoscopic Bariatric Surgery While Receiving Intravenous Patient-Controlled Analgesia. Anesthesiol Res Pract. 2019;2019:6593736.
- 62. Kim JH, Sohn JH, Lee JJ, Kwon YS. Age-Related Variations in Postoperative Pain Intensity across 10 Surgical Procedures: A Retrospective Study of Five Hospitals in South Korea. J Clin Med. 2023;12(18).
- 63. Othow CO, Ferede YA, Tawuye HY, Aytolign HA. The magnitude and associated factors of post-operative pain among adult patients. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2022;81:104406.
- 64. Pereira MP, Pogatzki-Zahn E. Gender aspects in postoperative pain. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2015;28(5):546-558.
- 65. Aubrun F, Salvi N, Coriat P, Riou B. Sex- and age-related differences in morphine requirements for postoperative pain relief. Anesthesiology. 2005;103(1):156-160.
- 66. Gallagher CJ, Balliet RM, Sun D, Chen G, Lazarus P. Sex differences in UDP-glucuronosyltransferase

2B17 expression and activity. Drug Metab Dispos. 2010;38(12):2204-2209.

- 67. Coffman BL, King CD, Rios GR, Tephly TR. The glucuronidation of opioids, other xenobiotics, and androgens by human UGT2B7Y(268) and UGT2B7H(268). Drug Metab Dispos. 1998;26(1):73-77.
- 68. Riley JL, 3rd, Robinson ME, Wise EA, Price D. A metaanalytic review of pain perception across the menstrual cycle. Pain. 1999;81(3):225-235.
- 69. Cavallaro PM, Fields AC, Bleday R, et al. A multi-center analysis of cumulative inpatient opioid use in colorectal surgery patients. The American Journal of Surgery. 2020;220(5):1160-1166.
- Howard R, Fry B, Gunaseelan V, et al. Association of Opioid Prescribing With Opioid Consumption After Surgery in Michigan. JAMA Surgery. 2019;154(1):e184234-e184234.
- Kinjo S, Sands LP, Lim E, Paul S, Leung JM. Prediction of postoperative pain using path analysis in older patients. J Anesth. 2012;26(1):1-8.
- 72. Chan J, Thong S, Tan M. Factors affecting postoperative pain and delay in discharge from the post-anaesthesia care unit: A descriptive correlational study. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare. 2017;27:201010581773879.
- 73. Khalil H, Shajrawi A, Henker R. Predictors of severe postoperative pain after orthopedic surgery in the immediate postoperative period. International Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nursing. 2021;43:100864.
- 74. Buli B, Gashaw A, Gebeyehu G, Abrar M, Gerbessa B. Patient satisfaction with post-operative pain management and associated factors among surgical patients at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital: Cross-sectional study. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2022;79:104087.
- Phillips S, Gift M, Gelot S, Duong M, Tapp H. Assessing the relationship between the level of pain control and patient satisfaction. Journal of Pain Research. 2013;6(null):683-689.
- 76. Götz JS, Benditz A, Reinhard J, et al. Influence of Anxiety/ Depression, Age, Gender and ASA on 1-Year Follow-Up Outcomes Following Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty in 5447 Patients. J Clin Med. 2021;10(14).
- 77. Thurston KL, Zhang SJ, Wilbanks BA, Billings R, Aroke EN. A Systematic Review of Race, Sex, and Socioeconomic Status Differences in Postoperative Pain and Pain Management. J Perianesth Nurs. 2023;38(3):504-515.
- 78. Lanitis S, Mimigianni C, Raptis D, Sourtse G, Sgourakis G, Karaliotas C. The Impact of Educational Status on the Postoperative Perception of Pain. Korean J Pain. 2015;28(4):265-274.
- 79. Yelton MJ, Jildeh TR. Cultural Competence and the Postoperative Experience: Pain Control and Rehabilitation. Arthroscopy, Sports Medicine, and Rehabilitation. 2023;5(4).
- Wallén S, Szabo E, Palmetun-Ekbäck M, et al. Impact of socioeconomic status on new chronic opioid use after gastric bypass surgery. Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases. 2023;19(12):1375-1381.
- Michaelides A, Zis P. Depression, anxiety and acute pain: links and management challenges. Postgraduate Medicine. 2019;131(7):438-444.
- 82. Pei J-H, Wang X, Ma T, Du Y, Dou X. Alexithymia in a Chinese Patient with Chronic Pain and Associated Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study. Pain Management Nursing. 2023;24(4):e1-e6.
- 83. Shibata M, Ninomiya T, Jensen MP, et al. Alexithymia is associated with greater risk of chronic pain and negative affect and with lower life satisfaction in a general population: the Hisayama Study. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e90984.
- 84. Lanzara R, Conti C, Camelio M, et al. Alexithymia and Somatization in Chronic Pain Patients: A Sequential Mediation Model. Front Psychol. 2020;11:545881.
- 85. Habibi Asgarabad M, Salehi Yegaei P, Jafari F, Azami-Aghdash S, Lumley MA. The relationship of alexithymia

to pain and other symptoms in fibromyalgia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Pain. 2023;27(3):321-337.

- 86. Vargovich A, Davin S, Goforth H, Scheman J. (511) The role of alexithymia in pain catastrophizing and functioning among chronic pain patients in an interdisciplinary chronic pain program. The Journal of Pain. 2015;16(4):S103.
- 87. Baudic S, Jayr C, Albi-Feldzer A, et al. Effect of Alexithymia and Emotional Repression on Postsurgical Pain in Women With Breast Cancer: A Prospective Longitudinal 12-Month Study. The Journal of Pain.

doi.org/10.56126/75.3.53